



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/782,151

02/14/2001

Ralph E. Frazier

8605

2317

26884

7590

11/13/2006

PAUL W. MARTIN

NCR CORPORATION, LAW DEPT.

1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.

DAYTON, OH 45479-0001

EXAMINER

YIGDALL, MICHAEL J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2192

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Advisory Action**  
**After the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

09/782,151

Examiner

Michael J. Yigdall

Applicant(s)

FRAZIER, RALPH E.

Art Unit

2192

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The reply filed 30 October 2006 is acknowledged.

1. ☐ The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered because:

a. ☐ The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).

b. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief.  
See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).

2. ☐ The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.

Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).

3. ☒ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

4. ☒ Other: The amendment is entered because it corrects a lack of antecedent basis in claims 19 and 20 and places the application in better form for appeal. Claims 1 and 3-17 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop in view of Barritz in view of Farrell in view of Yamagishi, and claims 2 and 18-20 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop in view of Barritz in view of Farrell in view of Yamagishi in view of O'Brien, as set forth in the Office action mailed on May 31, 2006.

MY

  
TUAN DAM  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER